



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/784,349	02/23/2004	Donald E. Godshaw	004286.00140	2311

22908 7590 05/20/2005

BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.
TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE
SUITE 3000
CHICAGO, IL 60606

EXAMINER

NGO, LIEN M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3727

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/784,349	Applicant(s) ⁵¹¹ GODSHAW ET AL.	
	Examiner LIEN TM NGO	Art Unit 3727	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 March 2005.
 2a) ☒ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Shea et al. (5,782,325) in view of Stube (5,498,101).

O'Shea et al. disclose, in figs. 1, 2 and 10, a luggage comprising a flexible fabric comprising both a lateral side 12 and a bottom side 15, and L shaped rigid board member (40 or 90) cover by said fabric, a telescoping handle fastened to the inside of the board member, the board member including a precut slots to receive wheel housing, a L-forming bracket on the outside the board member.

O'Shea et al. does not disclose a support foot fastened to the bottom side.

Stube teaches a support foot fastened to a bottom side of carrying case.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the luggage of O'Shea with a support foot fastened to the bottom side, as taught by Stube, in order to support the luggage when it in an up right position.

3. Claims 1, 2 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van Himbeeck (5,566,797) in view of O'Shea et al. (5,782,325) and further in view of Stube (5,498,101).

Van Himbeeck discloses, in figs. 1, 3 and 15, a luggage comprising a flexible fabric 132 comprising both a lateral side 34 and a bottom side 38, and L shaped rigid board member 56 cover by said fabric (see col. 8, lines 30-38), a telescoping handle fastened to the inside of the board member, the board member including a precut slots 138 to receive wheel housing.

Van Himbeeck does not disclose a forming bracket on the outside the board member.

O'Shea et al. teach a carrying case having L shaped rigid board member and a L-forming bracket on the outside of the board member.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a L-forming bracket on the outside of the board member in the Van Himbeeck carrying case, as taught by O'Shea et al., in order to secure the board member to the cover material of the luggage.

Van Himbeeck in view of O'Shea et al. does not disclose a support foot fastened to the bottom side.

Stube teaches a support foot fastened to a bottom side of carrying case.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the luggage of Van Himbeeck with a support

Art Unit: 3727

foot fastened to the bottom side, as taught by Stube, in order to support the luggage when it in an up right position.

4. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over De Lathouwer (6,702,164) in view of O'Shea et al., and further in view of Stube.

Lathouwer discloses, in figs. 1-4, a luggage comprising a L-shaped rigid board member 2, a telescoping handle fastened to the inside of the board member, the board member including a precut slots 8 to receive wheel housing, and the case comprising plurality of compartments, and a flexible fabric covering the board member,

O'Shea et al. teach a bracket as claimed, and Stube teaches a foot support.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify De Lathouwer luggage with a support foot, and a bracket in order to support the case in an up right position and secure the board member to cover material of the carrying case.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, LEE YOUNG can be reached on 571-272-4549. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/784,349
Art Unit: 3727

Page 6

LIEN TM NGO
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3727

May 18, 2005

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Lien TM Ngo', with a long horizontal stroke extending to the left and a curved flourish at the end.